



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,402	08/19/2003	Sten Kvist	Strom.7267	3995
55740 7590 12/07/2007			EXAMINER	
225 FRANKLI	CONNORS, LLP N STREET	•	WONG, LESLIE A	
SUITE 2300	02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	02110		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/643,402	KVIST ET AL.			
		Examiner	· Art Unit			
	•	/Leslie Wong/	1794			
The M	AILING DATE of this communication ap	•				
Period for Reply	,	,				
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of til after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut red by the Office later than three months after the mailine erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on 185	September 2007				
		s action is non-final.				
<u> </u>						
	in accordance with the practice under	•	•			
Disposition of C	laims					
4)⊠ Claim(s	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-41</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s	s) <u>1-28,42 and 43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Pap	ers					
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
·	wing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.			
	nt may not request that any objection to the	•				
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
	h or declaration is objected to by the E		-			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
12)∐ Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. 🔲 (Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. 🔲 (Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in App	lication No			
3.□ (Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage			
a	pplication from the International Burea	nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)						
	rences Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)			
	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application			
	ail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number:

10/643,402 Art Unit: 1794

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-27, 42, and 43 in the reply filed on September 18, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

It is noted that with the amendment of September 18, 2007, amended claim 28 will be added to Group I and examined in this action.

It is again noted that claims 29-39 are directed to a "use" wherein a "use" is nonstatutory subject matter. If necessary, claims 29-39 may be added to the election/restriction requirement.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-28, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjurenvall (US 7,005,155) in view of Burrows et al (US 4435429).

Bjurenvall disclose a process for manufacturing a cereal product wherein bran is fractionated into glucose, protein, and fiber (see Figure 2). Bjurenvall disclose a process where the bran obtained from milling is subjected to enzyme hydrolysis using amylase and amyloglucosidase at a pH of 4.5 to 5.2 and a temperature of 50 to 75°C,

10/643,402

Art Unit: 1794

whereby glucose syrup, protein, and bran are obtained as end products (see entire patent, especially column 2, line 53 to column 4, line 5).

The claims differ as to the specific recitation of centrifugation.

Burrows et al disclose a cereal grain fractionation where centrifugation is used to separate fractions (see entire patent, especially column 4, lines 3-10).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use centrifugation as taught by Burrows et al in that of Bjurenvall because the use of centrifugation to separate fractions is conventional and well-known in the cereal grain art. Applicant is using known components and process steps to obtain no more than expected results.

With respect to Applicant's limitations as to percent protein, sugar, oil, and fiber within the fractions it is not seen that this would differ from that of the prior art as the same components and steps are used. It is further noted that the use and manipulation of enzymes to hydrolyze cereals is conventional in the art. The amounts employed are no more than a matter of choice.

Goering et al (US 5013561) is cited as of interest to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number:

10/643,402

Art Unit: 1794

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

LAW

December 3, 2007